Case 2:09-mj-03185-LOA Document 7 Filed 05/21/09 Pa

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ad	er of LED	
~ 5	RECEIVE	ĺ

LODGED

WO

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MAY 2 1 2009

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Al	ejandra Loretta	Campas	Case Number:	09-3185M		
In acc are es	ordance tablishe	e with the Bail Refor ed: (Check on	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 e or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the pending trial in this case.					ty and require the detention of the defendant		
\boxtimes	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant penditrial in this case.						
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	There is probable	e cause to believe that	the defendant has committed	I		
		an offens 801 et se	se for which a maximu eq., 951 et seq, or 46 l	m term of imprisonment of ter J.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	n years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§		
		an offens	se under 18 U.S.C. §§	924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
		an offens imprison	se listed in 18 U.S.C. § ment of ten years or m	2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimo lore is prescribed.	es of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
		an offens	se involving a minor vid	tim prescribed in	1		
	(2)	The defendant h	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination or conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
			A	Iternative Findings			
\boxtimes	(1)	There is a serious the appearance of	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assurt the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.					
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimic a prospective witness or juror).					
	(4)	et a supplied to the supplied					
		PAR	RT II WRITTEN STA (Check	TEMENT OF REASONS FOF	RDETENTION		
	(1)	I find that the cred as to danger that:	ible testimony and info	mation submitted at the hearir	ng establish by clear and convincing evidence		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity) (§ 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:09-mj-03185-LOA Document 7 Filed 05/21/09 Page 2 of 2

M	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	Ħ	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The de	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
	In addit	ion: O Defendant is unemplused & Dendant so entherthy on State probation 13 Dendant ested visitives or illust down user upon to
time of	The Co the hear	entait hus case despite band 5 months pregnant entaits hus used which were reviewed by the Court at the ing in this matter.
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
of the U	The def Inited Sta	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in cility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
service	a copy or Pursuan of a cop	RDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of y of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the ailure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
Services	s sumicie	RTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Date:		5/21/09 LAWRENCE O. ANDERSON
		LIVATILIADE O. AMBEILOUN

United States Magistrate Judge